

Refreshed Statement of Community Involvement Public Consultation Feedback:
Detailed Comments and Responses Table

Respondent No.	Organisation/ Respondent	Comment No.	Comments	Officer Response	Officer Recommendation
SCI-0001 Alan Hubbard National Trust		1	Introduction – Very clearly set out and expressed.	Noted	No change
		2	Leaflet 1 – Well presented, no particular comments to make.	Noted.	No change
		3	<p>Leaflet 2, para 3.2 -</p> <p>In the first paragraph it is assumed that the Local Plan will include development management policies as well as spatial policies, and that these will also be used in the assessment of development proposals. It is suggested that a suitable addition is made to the text</p> <p>In the second paragraph it is noted that the statutory framework for sustainable development seeks not merely the protection of environmental assets but also their enhancement. Again a small addition is considered to be appropriate.</p>	<p>Agreed, the suggested additions would aid clarity.</p> <p>In para 3.2 after spatial policies insert: “...<i>development management policies</i>” And; In the second paragraph of 3.2 after protecting insert: “<i>and enhancing where possible.</i>”</p>	<p>In para 3.2 after spatial policies insert: “...<i>development management policies</i>” And; In the second paragraph of 3.2 after protecting insert: “<i>and enhancing where possible.</i>”</p>

4	<p>Leaflet 2, para 3.3 -</p> <p>It would be appropriate for the title of Part 2 of the Local Plan made specific reference to the inclusion of development management policies – at present its title does not reflect its overall scope</p>	<p>Noted, in order to ensure the SCI can endure in the longer term it is proposed that para 3.3 should be amended to refer to the Local Plan as a whole, rather than to the current proposals for a two part plan</p>	<p>Replace para 3.3 with the following text: <i>“The new Local plan will replace the saved policies of the existing Local Plan (2005). The Local Plan will set out the vision and strategic objectives for North East Derbyshire for the plan period. It will set out the broad locations and amounts of development for the district, including site allocations and local targets for housing, employment and retail development etc, based on up-to-date evidence. It will also include detailed policies to inform decisions on planning applications (see Leaflet 3). The Local Plan must comply with the NPPF and will provide the context for any Neighbourhood Plans that might be produced.”</i></p>
5	<p>Leaflet 3 – No comment to make</p>	<p>Noted.</p>	<p>No change</p>
6	<p>General comment – Generally succinct and well presented – no other suggestions to make</p>	<p>Noted.</p>	<p>No change</p>

SCI - 0002	Andy Cooper A & D Architecture Ltd	7	<p>Leaflet 1 para 2.1</p> <p>Suggest additional principles of completeness and objectivity:</p> <p>“We will completely disclose all policy options available to public under the National Planning Framework” and</p> <p>“We will present to the public all relevant facts about a development proposal objectively.”</p>	<p>A key aim of objectivity is to ensure an open and objective approach to community engagement and involvement in the planning process.</p> <p>Agree it would be helpful to include reference to transparency and objectivity in Leaflet 1.</p>	<p>Insert additional bullet point at Para 2.1:</p> <p>“ Be transparent and objective through the consideration of reasonable policy options for Development Plan Documents and presenting all relevant facts about development proposals.”</p>
		8	<p>Leaflet 2 para 3.8</p> <p>Suggest NEDDC lists those communities that may create a neighbourhood plan and those that may not because they are served by a Parish Council and explain what a Parish Council is within the meaning of the Localism Act.</p>	<p>Noted</p> <p>A key aim of the refreshed SCI is that it is a streamlined document that uses electronic links to signpost additional information.</p> <p>The whole of North East Derbyshire is covered by Parishes. All Parish Councils have the opportunity produce Neighbourhood Plans. Inclusion of a link in the document to provide additional information would be a useful addition.</p>	<p>Insert the following links at the end of para 3.8:</p> <p>http://www.planningportal.gov.uk/in-your-area/neighbourhood</p> <p>http://www.derbyshire.gov.uk/environment/planning/neighbourhood_plans/default.asp</p>
		9	<p>Leaflet 2 para 3.13</p> <p>In the interest of transparency and objective rigour, suggest NEDDC briefly records reasons for adopting or dismissing each public representation</p>	<p>The Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 part 22 c (iii) set out that in preparing a Local Plan a summary of the main issues raised by the representations is adequate.</p> <p>The Council believes that a summary of representations is a sensible approach and will provide a transparent and easy to understand audit trail. An individual representation can always be reviewed and a response explained to a specific stakeholder on request.</p>	<p>No change</p>

		Statement of Community Involvement: Public Consultation Feedback	Detailed Comments and Responses Table	
	10	<p>Leaflet 3 para 4.2</p> <p>The adjacent boundary and distances test appear to be arbitrary. It is conceivable that a property that is affected by a development proposal will not be notified because it has no adjacent boundary but lies within 90m of a development. Suggest that all properties within 90m of a development site boundary (i.e. buildings site boundary) are notified – see attached sheets one and two.</p> <p><i>Officer note – see attached drawing submitted</i></p>	<p>Noted</p> <p>The notification protocol is taken from the Council's Planning Charter. This document outlines the Council's commitment and responsibilities to planning and development management in North East Derbyshire. This document is currently under review and will be subject to separate consultation. The SCI will be updated as necessary to reflect any future changes to the Planning Charter.</p> <p>The SCI should clearly signpost the Planning Charter</p>	<p>Insert the following web link to the Planning Charter at the end of para 4.2:</p> <p>http://www.ne-derbyshire.gov.uk/environment-planning/planning/otherp/planning-charter/</p>
	11	<p>Leaflet 3 para 4.5</p> <p>Suggest NEDDC save public money by posting decisions on website only.</p>	<p>Alternative methods of dispatching decision notices are currently being considered as part of a wider review of the Council's Planning Charter. Any amendments to the procedure will be set out in the Council's updated Planning Charter and reflected in the SCI.</p>	<p>No Change</p>
	12	<p>Leaflet 3 para 4.9</p> <p>Appendix B: Non-material Planning Considerations</p> <p>Suggest clarification that factual misrepresentation by an applicant <u>IS</u> a material planning consideration and</p> <p>Suggest clarification about compensation in respect of lost property value</p>	<p>It is agreed that a factual misrepresentation made by an applicant is a material planning consideration. However, if a third party makes a factual misrepresentation, then it should be discounted as it is incorrect and therefore not relevant to the planning application. It is agreed that this should be clarified in Appendix B.</p> <p>It is an established legal position that impacts on property value through proposed new development is <u>not</u> a material planning consideration.</p>	<p>Insert at Appendix B, Non-Material Planning Considerations, bulletpoint 6: "by a third party"</p> <p>No Change</p>

SCI-003 Mr Nick Sandford The Woodland trust	13	<p>Leaflet 1 para 2.3</p> <p>We welcome the Council's commitment to involving everyone who has an interest in a particular consultation through your list of stakeholders (para 2.3) and we would like the Woodland Trust to be included on this database.</p> <p>The Woodland Trust would like to be consulted on any policy document which has relevance to trees and woodland, or related issues such as climate change, flood alleviation, biodiversity, green infrastructure etc.</p> <p>The Woodland Trust would also like to be consulted on any planning applications which may damage directly or indirectly any ancient woodland or ancient or veteran trees. If the likely impact is uncertain, we would prefer to be consulted anyway, so that we can assess whether we need to be involved.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>I can confirm that the details for the Woodland Trust are included on the Local Plan consultation database.</p> <p>The Council will always seek to notify interested parties such as the Woodland Trust on relevant planning applications.</p> <p>In addition to this interested parties can always review the weekly list of planning applications on the Councils website. This sets out all the planning applications registered for a specific week. The link to the website is attached http://planapps-online.norfolk.gov.uk/online-applications/search.do;jsessionid=6F771EA9579B01E63A512143F1262EDD?action=weeklyList</p>	No Change
	14	<p>Leaflet 1 para 2.12</p> <p>Although the Woodland Trust does not feature in the list of bodies which the Council is legally required to consult, we believe that we should be included in the definition in bullet point a of para 2.12, as a "voluntary body some or all of whose activities benefit any part of the local planning authority's area". We own over 1000 woods all over the country and have an interest in protecting ancient woods and ancient/veteran trees wherever they are found.</p>	<p>Noted,</p> <p>Appendix A lists by name only those organisations that the Council is legally required to consult with.</p> <p>Criterion a) of other general consultation bodies covers all voluntary bodies, including the Woodland Trust. It is not proposed to include a full list of voluntary bodies in the SCI.</p>	No Change
	15	<p>Leaflet 2</p> <p>See our comments on leaflet 1</p>	<p>Noted.</p>	No Change

Statement of Community Involvement: Public Consultation Feedback

Detailed Comments and Responses Table

		16	Leaflet 3 See our comments on leaflet 1	Noted.	No Change
		17	General comments The SCI provides a clear and concise outline of the processes which the Council intends to follow in consulting people and organisations on planning policies and planning applications	Noted.	No Change
SCI-0004	The Coal Authority	18	I am pleased to see that The Coal Authority is on your list of 'Specific Consultees' (Appendix A). However, having reviewed your document, I can confirm that we have no specific comments to make on this document at this stage.	Noted.	No Change.
		19	Introduction Is the document clearly set out? Yes	Noted.	No Change
		20	Introduction Does it contain sufficient detail? Yes	Noted.	No Change
		21	Leaflet 1 Are the Principles of Community Involvement clear in paragraph 2.1? Yes	Noted.	No Change
		22	Leaflet 1 Have we identified all the relevant groups and bodies of people to be involved and what their role is? No mention of land owners	Agree, landowners should be added to para 2.3	amend para 2.3 to: " we have developed a consultation database of individuals, landowners, groups and stakeholders"
		23	Leaflet 1 Is Appendix A useful? Yes	Noted.	No Change
SCI-0005	Mr Trevor Glover Chesterfield Golf Club				

Statement of Community Involvement: Public Consultation Feedback

Detailed Comments and Responses Table

24	Leaflet 2 Is the Planning Policy Framework clearly explained? Yes	Noted.	No Change
25	Leaflet 2 Is it clear when community/stakeholder involvement will take place? Yes	Noted.	No Change
26	Leaflet 2 What do you think of the proposed methods for community involvement? Standard	Noted.	No Change
27	Leaflet 2 Are figures 1, 2 & 3 of this leaflet easy to follow and helpful? Yes	Noted.	No Change
28	Leaflet 2 Do you think that the methods for involving people are sufficient/appropriate? Yes	Noted.	No Change
29	Leaflet 3 Do you think that the Draft SCI clearly sets out how the Council intends to involve people in the different stages of a planning application? Yes	Noted.	No Change

Statement of Community Involvement: Public Consultation Feedback

Detailed Comments and Responses Table

30	<p>Leaflet 3</p> <p>Do you think that the methods for involving people are sufficient/appropriate?</p> <p>Yes</p>	Noted.	No Change
31	<p>Leaflet 3</p> <p>Do you think that the arrangements for feedback after a decision is made on a planning application are sufficient/appropriate?</p> <p>Yes</p>	Noted.	No Change
32	<p>Leaflet 3</p> <p>Is Appendix B useful? Yes</p>	Noted.	No Change
33	<p>General comments</p> <p>Do you like the overall layout of the SCI? OK</p>	Noted.	No Change
34	<p>General comments</p> <p>Is it easy to follow? Yes</p>	Noted.	No Change
35	<p>General comments</p> <p>Does the SCI go into the right amount of detail?</p> <p>Yes</p>	Noted.	No Change
36	<p>General comments</p> <p>Are there any issues/topics missing from the document?</p> <p>No</p>	Noted.	No Change

Statement of Community Involvement: Public Consultation Feedback

Detailed Comments and Responses Table

SCI-0006 Mr Stuart Reaney	Local Resident	37	I have no major issues with this draft document and I think it accurately describes the current procedure adopted by North East Derbyshire District Council	Noted.	No Change
SCI-0007 Mr Derek Oldman Local Resident	38	Introduction Yes it is clearly set out. I can see that considerable thought has been put into the presentation. It does contain sufficient detail.	Noted.	No Change	
	39	Leaflet 1 Everything in this section appears to be very satisfactory	Noted.	No Change	
	40	Leaflet 2 Is the Planning Policy Framework clearly explained? Clearly explained	Noted.	No Change	
	41	Leaflet 2 Is it clear when community/stakeholder involvement will take place? Very clear to understand	Noted.	No Change	
	42	Leaflet 2 What do you think of the proposed methods for community involvement? I think these methods are good. Nobody should be unable to get involved	Noted.	No Change	
	43	Leaflet 2 Are figures 1, 2 & 3 of this leaflet easy to follow and helpful?	Noted.	No Change	

	Very easy to follow		
44	Leaflet 2 Do you think that the methods for involving people are sufficient/appropriate? I think you have got it exactly right	Noted.	No Change
45	Leaflet 3 Do you think that the Draft SCI clearly sets out how the Council intends to involve people in the different stages of a planning application? The draft SCI is very clear	Noted.	No Change
46	Leaflet 3 Do you think that the methods for involving people are sufficient/appropriate? Very appropriate	Noted.	No Change
47	Leaflet 3 Do you think that the arrangements for feedback after a decision is made on a planning application are sufficient/appropriate? Feedback is appropriate	Noted.	No Change
48	Leaflet 3 Is Appendix B useful? Very Useful	Noted.	No Change

49	<p>General comments</p> <p>Do you like the overall layout of the SCI?</p> <p>Overall layout is good</p>	Noted.	No Change
50	<p>General comments</p> <p>Is it easy to follow?</p> <p>It is easy to follow</p>	Noted.	No Change
51	<p>General comments</p> <p>Does the SCI go into the right amount of detail?</p> <p>About right in detail</p>	Noted.	No Change
52	<p>General comments</p> <p>Are there any issues/topics missing from the document?</p> <p>Can't think of anything you have left out</p>	Noted.	No Change
53	<p>General comments</p> <p>When considering development in rural villages I think that the nature of the appearance should be taken into consideration. In order to retain these rural communities it is important that people born in these areas should be encouraged to remain there particularly if they play an important part in village affairs, if this means developing small areas of Green Belt then to my mind that is acceptable.</p>	<p>Agreed, it is important that new development should use materials that are sympathetic to the existing built environment. The existing Local Plan has policies (BE1/H12) setting this out. Also the Council has recently adopted the Successful Places Interim Planning Guidance (Dec 2013) to assist with the delivery of good design in new development.</p> <p>The Council has a Corporate Objective to increase the delivery of affordable housing in the District. The Council uses the existing policies in the Local Plan</p>	No Change

			(2005) (H6/H7/H8/H9) and the Affordable Housing Supplementary Planning Document to utilise the planning system to help deliver affordable housing in all areas of the District. The principle of Green Belt release to provide affordable housing will be considered in the new Local Plan.	
SCI-0008 Mr David Walpole Local resident	54	Introduction Introduction very clearly set out with relevant detail	Noted.	No Change
	55	Leaflet 1 para 2.1 Clear and concise	Noted.	No Change
	56	Leaflet 1 Have we identified all the relevant groups and bodies of people to be involved and what their role is? All bodies identified	Noted.	No Change
	57	Leaflet 1 para 2.12 Appendix A is useful	Noted.	No Change
	58	Leaflet 2 Points a) to e) are ok	Noted.	No Change

Statement of Community Involvement: Public Consultation Feedback

Detailed Comments and Responses Table

	59	Leaflet 3 Points a) to c) all sufficient and appropriate	Noted.	No Change
	60	Leaflet 3 Appendix B is useful and very informative.	Noted.	No Change
	61	General comments Do you like the overall layout of the SCI? Yes	Noted.	No Change
	62	General comments Is it easy to follow? Yes	Noted.	No Change
	63	General comments Does the SCI go into the right amount of detail? Yes	Noted.	No Change
	64	General comments Are there any issues/topics missing from the document? Does not appear to be	Noted.	No Change
SCI-0009 Mr Alan Craw Chesterfield CAMRA	65	I am the public affairs officer of Chesterfield CAMRA, and as such, I am interested in any planning applications and pubs. I would like to be consulted on all applications concerning pubs, as we are losing too many and not just due to the business conditions at the retail end.	Noted. I can confirm that the details for CAMRA are included on the Local Plan consultation database. The Council will always seek to notify interested parties such as CAMRA on relevant planning applications. However as an alternative interested parties can review the weekly list on the	No Change

			<p>Councils website that sets out all the planning applications registered for a specific week. The link to the website is attached:</p> <p>http://planapps-online.norfolk.gov.uk/online-applications/search.do;jsessionid=6F771EA9579B01E63A512143F1262EDD?action=weeklyList</p>	
	66	We realise that pubs close for a variety of reasons, and while we as CAMRA do not oppose all closures on principle, we choose only to try and save viable pubs	Noted	No Change.
	67	In terms of registering pubs as Assets of Community value, I would be grateful if you could let me have sight of any policy documents you have so that when we look at making an application we know what criteria of judgement to address.	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Attached is a link to the Council's website setting out the nomination process for an Asset of Community Value. This process is outside the planning framework.</p> <p>http://www.norfolk.gov.uk/community-living/community-right-to-bid/</p>	No Change
SCI-0010 Mr David Selkirk Local Resident	68	The documents are a confusion of policy names, meaningless to real people. What does it mean in plain English?	<p>Noted, it is disappointing that the consultee finds the document confusing and overtly complicated.</p> <p>The whole ethos of the document has been to set out a complicated process such as consultation and public involvement in the planning process in a straight forward way.</p>	No Change
SCI-0011 Ms Claire Searson English Heritage	69	Thank you for consulting English Heritage on the refreshed SCI. We have no detailed comments to make on this document.	Noted	No Change

<p>SCI-0012 Miss Carla Jackson Natural England</p>	70	<p>Natural England is a non-departmental public body. Our statutory purpose is to ensure that the natural environment is conserved, enhanced, and managed for the benefit of present and future generations, thereby contributing to sustainable development.</p> <p>We are supportive of the principle of meaningful and early engagement of the general community, community organisations and statutory bodies in local planning matters, both in terms of shaping policy and participating in the process of determining planning applications.</p> <p>We regret we are unable to comment, in detail, on individual Statements of Community Involvement but information on the planning service we offer, including advice on how to consult us, can be found at: http://www.naturalengland.org.uk/ourwork/planningdevelopment/default.aspx.</p>	Noted	No Change
<p>SCI-0013 Mr Peter Smith Local Resident</p>	71	<p>We have reviewed the materials regarding the SCI and have no real comment to make as the process appears to offer opportunity for interested parties to comment and perhaps, make a difference to blanket acceptance of development plans for the area.</p> <p>However, there remains a deep suspicion that although the process is in place we, the ordinary folks outside of the Council, have little influence over planning once big money companies come to the table and all too often we see development in places which are really inappropriate and this is a worry. HS2 perhaps, is an example of this for so many people will be hurt by the project but they do not appear on the radar as they only have a small say over the fact that their land is taken never to be</p>	<p>Thank you for your comments. The intention of the SCI is to set out a clear statement about how people can get involved in the planning process. The revised version is designed to simplify the system and hopefully make it more understandable and accessible to participants.</p> <p>Comments and concerns about the HS2 scheme are noted, although as you might be aware, HS2 is a national infrastructure project which lies outside of the normal planning process and is channelled through the parliamentary process, meaning that it is subject to very different consultation and decision making procedures to other planning proposals. The District Council's role is therefore that of a consultee, rather than</p>	No change

		<p>replaced or their house value goes through the floor but they are not immediately adjacent to the line and so are not going to be compensated.</p> <p>The larger plan we discussed when the team came here a while ago and we seemed largely to agree that any process will be manipulated by money and that our part of the county is not really suitable for more housing development but we have seen instances where a developer has stated that the village has shops etc and this just was/is not true and this is what is so frightening. It is difficult to really see how the small man will be able to help with the consultations that you frame in the documents at reference but, in</p>	<p>a decision maker in the process.</p> <p>As far as the new Local Plan is concerned, there will be further opportunity to comment on the draft Plan later this year</p>	
<p>SCI-0014 Noel Bell Rotherham Metropolitan Borough Council</p>	72	<p>At this point, we have no specific comments to make, but would appreciate being kept informed of progress and any subsequent opportunities to express our views.</p>	<p>Noted.</p>	<p>No Change</p>
<p>SCI-0015 Dr S K T Neofytou Local Resident</p>	73	<p>The leaflets are useful and informative.</p> <p>I would be grateful to be kept on file with regard to the proposed Local Plan and any consultations.</p>	<p>Noted and contact details added to the Local Plan database</p>	<p>No Change</p>
<p>SCI-0016 Ms Jennifer Booth Local Resident (Late Representation)</p>	74	<p>Leaflet 2 Para 3.11</p> <p>Limited opening hours and possible future closures of libraries may impact on public access. Consideration should be given to wider public access points ie health centres and possibly supermarket notice boards particularly in the event of public exhibitions which have historically resulted in poor attendance.</p> <p>c) Do not go wide enough in terms of notification methods</p> <p>e) More needs to be done involve hard to reach groups such as older residents.</p>	<p>It is important that deposit venues can offer appropriate accommodation/surroundings for the deposit of materials and for the public to view them in relative comfort. The Council will monitor the opening hours of its deposit venues and will adjust as necessary.</p> <p>Due to the amount of background material associated with Local Plan documents, the most cost effective and efficient method of encouraging participation is through the Council's</p>	<p>No Change</p>

		Suggest consideration be given to connecting with older residents by hosting talks/demonstrations at their venues: social clubs/luncheon clubs.	website. In Leaflet 2 Para 3.12 the benefits of public exhibitions and workshops/discussion meetings are set out. These consultation methods can be used to connect with older residents and other hard to reach groups.	
	75	Leaflet 3 para 4.2 No, the methods for involving people are not sufficient/appropriate. I disagree with the planning application notification protocol. Reason: The impact of development is subjective. Whilst the impact of a single dwelling at 90m may be negligible, the same could not be said of the impact of major developments at that distance consideration should take account of scale mass. A one size fits all approach is not appropriate. ,	Noted The notification protocol is taken from the Council's Planning Charter. This document outlines the Council's commitment and responsibilities to planning and development management in North East Derbyshire. This document is currently under review and will be subject to separate consultation. The SCI will be updated as necessary to reflect any future changes to the Planning Charter. The SCI should clearly signpost the Planning Charter	Insert the following web link to the Planning Charter at the end of para 4.2: http://www.ne-derbyshire.gov.uk/environment-planning/planning/otherp/planning-charter/ Insert the following bullet point in para 4.2 and attach web link: "The Planning Charter outlines the Council's commitment and responsibilities to planning and development management in North East Derbyshire." http://www.ne-derbyshire.gov.uk/environment-planning/planning/otherp/planning-charter/
SCI-0016 Clay Cross Parish Council (Late representation) Parish Councilor Mr T Mansbridge	76	Leaflet 1 para 2.1 Bullet point 5 When the District Council suggests 'our libraries' do they mean 'libraries' or do they mean 'County libraries'? Why not use appropriate Parish Council facilities and offices where information could be displayed	Noted. The Libraries refer to Derbyshire County Council libraries. The libraries provide a good network of locations serving residents across the District. They are also known locations for the display of local information. It is not cost effective to provide and maintain an extensive range of locations	Amend text at para 2.1, bullet 5,: delete 'our libraries', and insert: 'Derbyshire County Council libraries.'

			to display documents. It is considered that the website and current range of deposit venues is sufficient.	
77	<p>Leaflet 1 para 2.1 Bullet point 8</p> <p>Smaller Parish Councils sometimes do not meet every month and some of the larger ones, for example Clay Cross have no meetings in March, August and December therefore adhering to 8 weeks for planning comments can sometimes be difficult.</p> <p>It would appear that time and speed is the only priority when neighbourhoods will have to scrutinise planning matters under the new scheme.</p>	<p>The 8 & 13 week periods relate to the time period within which the LPA should determine planning applications. These timeframes are set at the national level. In order to meet this target the Council requires consultees to make comments within 21 days. However a case officer will always try and be flexible and can be contacted on a case by case basis about potentially submitting late representations on a planning application.</p> <p>It is important that the Council has an efficient process to enable applications to be determined in a timely manner. However the Council has to balance this with operating a transparent process where every reasonable opportunity is given for stakeholder involvement.</p>	No change.	
78	<p>Leaflet 1 para 2.3</p> <p>With the changes to County Electoral boundaries and potential changes to the boundaries of some District Parishes and their boundaries it is vital that planning matters that border Parishes are notified to the relevant parish.</p>	<p>Leaflet 1 Appendix A sets out those bodies the Council is legally obliged to consult with.</p> <p>This includes neighbouring Local Planning Authorities who can address cross boundary issues. It would not be an efficient use of resources to directly contact all neighbouring parish councils of planning matters.</p> <p>All the information is available on the Council's website to be viewed if required.</p>	No change.	

79	<p>Leaflet 1 para 2.6</p> <p>The District Councils 'News' magazine is produced infrequently and therefore would not be able to provide 'up to date information'.</p>	<p>The 'News' magazine is produced 3 times a year. Whilst this is not frequent it still reaches all households in the District and is a good method of publicising up and coming planning policy consultation periods.</p> <p>It is accepted that the 'News' is not an ideal method to publicise planning applications due to the time gaps in its publication. Para 2.6 should be amended to reflect this.</p>	<p>Insert the following text at the end of Leaflet 1 para 2.6:</p> <p>" ... and through notices in the local newspaper to publicise planning matters'.</p>
80	<p>Leaflet 2 para 3.2</p> <p>Will the Local Plan include an overall development strategy, infrastructure needs, neighbourhood boundary issues, economic strategy and climate change issues?</p> <p>What is a neighbourhood?</p>	<p>The new Local Plan will address all those issues with the exception of neighbourhood boundary issues. In the context of Neighbourhood Planning within parished areas, the Parish boundary would define the neighbourhood area.</p>	No Change.
81	<p>Leaflet 2 para 3.4</p> <p>Will North East Derbyshire District Council opt for a CIL and if so, will the levy be used for support and projects within the neighbourhood where the planning application is and will the views of the local neighbourhood be paramount when deciding?</p>	<p>At Cabinet (21/09/2011) the Council agreed to the principle of CIL as method of raising income to deliver infrastructure. Further work is required to establish the potential revenue CIL might generate against the proposed development set out in the emerging Local Plan. The current timetable is outlined in Local Development Scheme 5 and states that if CIL is progressed it will be adopted in September 2016.</p> <p>A key difference between CIL and the existing S106 process is that the money generated can be spent on any infrastructure project as long as it is named in the R123 (Infrastructure) List.</p> <p>Central Government announced in 2013 that those areas with a neighbourhood plan adopted will receive 25% of CIL</p>	No Change

			generated in their area. For those areas without a neighbourhood plan they will receive 15% of CIL generated in their area. .	
	82	<p>Leaflet 2 para 3.8</p> <p>a) Might more than one neighbourhood want to produce a plan for the area or Parish?</p> <p>b) If so, there could be diverse views between, for example, tenants on a housing estate and residents within an owner occupier housing area within the same Parish. If so, how will the Council react?</p> <p>c) Will the planning officers temper local expectations with a reality check where diverse views are apparent due to resource availability? Is there a price on democracy?</p> <p>d) Does the Local Plan need to take into consideration 'a specific requirement for housing numbers and that must be underpinned and totally supported by a thorough analysis of housing need'?</p> <p>e) Will the requirements be for that Parish or will it be for the District as a whole?</p> <p>f) What is the 'Community Right to Build' and could this have an effect on the Local Plan?</p> <p>g) Will referendums be used within the new Neighbourhood Planning strategy, if so, when will they be used?</p>	Noted, these comments do not relate directly to the SCI. Further detail on Neighbourhood Planning and the Community Right to Build can be found on the Planning Portal.	No Change

		h) As some planning will affect all of a neighbourhood, shouldn't greater emphasis be attached to the wider strategic aspects including regeneration, population, employment etc.?		
SCI-0017 JM Sloane Local Resident (Late representation)	83	I cannot add any comment to improve the document, other than it is very complicated and if you would like Community Involvement, then please keep things straight-forward and easy to understand. I was unclear what you were asking.	Noted, it is disappointing that the consultee finds the document confusing and overtly complicated. The whole ethos of the document has been to set out the complicated process of consultation and public involvement in the planning process in a straight forward manner.	No Change